

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

20457 7590 07/27/2004 EXAMINER ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET	IRMATION NO.	o. coi	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE	APPLICATION NO.
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET APPLICATION AND THE PARE TO THE T	5296		506.39084X00	Kuniki Kino	09/19/2000	09/665,617
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET		AMINER	EXAM		590 . 07/27/2004	20457 7590
A DIT I DATE	MARX, IRENE					
SUITE 1800	ER NUMBER	P	ART UNIT		SEVENTEENTH STREET	1300 NORTH SE SUITE 1800
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889 1651			1651		VA 22209-9889	ARLINGTON, V

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/665,617	KINO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Irene Marx	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	ı <u>ly 2004</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5-10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the B	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)

y

Application/Control Number: 09/665,617

Art Unit: 1651

The amendment filed 9/11/03 is acknowledged. Claims 3 are being considered on the merits.

Claims 6-10 are withdrawn from consideration as directed to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the production of histidine with strains derived from *Escherichia coli* FERM-BP-6673, does not reasonably provide enablement for the production of this amino acid with any *Escherichia coli* resistant to 150 mg/l of primaquine or alkali metal salts thereof. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

From the record of the present written disclosure and the Abe Declaration, offsprings of strain *E. coli* H-9340 are shown to be capable of the production and accumulation of the required product. While essentially any strain of *Escherichia coli* has an ability to produce L-histidine, there is nothing on this record to show or suggest that resistance to primaquine in the claimed amount is sufficient to cause L-histidine to accumulate in the culture medium, as claimed.

It would require undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to determine which other strains of *Escherichia coli* would be suitable for the claimed invention, in view of the diversity of strains encompassed. It is noted that a specific strain of *E. coli* was mutagenized by random mutagenesis in order to obtain the parent histidine producing strain having the required capability. As correctly indicated by Applicants, "there are complicated regulation system in the biosynthesis pathway for amino acids" (previous response, page 6 and references cited therein). Moreover, this record provides no clear correlation between resistance to 150 mg/l of primaquine for any strain of *Escherichia coli* and the production and accumulation of histidine as required.

Undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as claimed due to the quantity of experimentation to screen and select *Escherichia coli* strains that will be capable of

Art Unit: 1651

producing histidine upon resistance to 150 mg/l of primaquine; limited amount of guidance and limited number of working examples in the specification related to this screening and selection process to show the requisite correlation thereof; the unpredictable nature of the invention; and breadth of the claims. In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Thus, the scope of the claims is not commensurate with the teachings of enablement of the specification.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments and the Abe declaration have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

The Abe declaration refers to Example 1 of the specification, wherein strain H-9340, deposited as FERM BP-6673, resistant to 1,2,4, triazole alanine is obtained from strain ATCC 21318, . This strain is further mutagenized and selected to obtain strains according to the claimed invention. The declaration does not address the unpredictability of obtaining histidine accumulating strains of *E. coli* merely by assessing their resistance to 150 mg/l of primaquine or alkali metal salts thereof.

Thus, the scope of the claims is not commensurate with the teachings of enablement of the specification.

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is 703-308-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0926.

Irene Marx

Primary Examiner